RESEARCH ARTICLE

Inhibitory Effect of Shikimic Acid on Biofilm Formation and the Expression of Critical Biofilmassociated Genes in Streptococcus agalactiae

Safa Nadem Alani¹ and Safaa Abed Latef Al Meani^{2,*}

¹Department of Pharmacy, Al-Huda University College, Ramadi, Al Anbar, Iraq ²Department of Biotechnology, College of Science, University of Anbar, Al Anbar, Iraq

Abstract:

Background: Streptococcus agalactiae is well-known for causing adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as stillbirths and miscarriages, as well as being a major cause of newborn sepsis and meningitis.

Aims: This study sought to investigate how shikimic acid influences the expression of genes involved in the synthesis of biofilms.

Methods: A total of 181 specimens were obtained from pregnant women. Twenty-two isolates were bacteriologically recognized as S. agalactiae from these specimens. They were gathered from hospitals in the Al-Anbar province. These twenty-two isolates were identified as Group B streptococcus (GBS) based on their cultural and microscopical properties, as well as automated (VITEK-2 system) and molecular identification based on the atr gene, which is an essential gene expressed in all S. agalactiae isolates.

Results: GBS produced varying amounts of biofilm (weak, moderate, and strong). Shikimic acid (SA) was tested for its antibacterial effect against biofilm. Shikimic acid was incubated at various doses, and its effects on biofilm growth and formation were evaluated by MTT and crystal violet assay. SA greatly reduced the GBS inhibitory effects on GBS biofilms in pregnant women. Furthermore, it demonstrated a potent initial cell attachment, but it had less inhibitory effects on biofilms that had already been developed on polystyrene surfaces after eight hours. However, the Checkerboard approach produced a synergistic interaction between erythromycin and shikimic acid. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was used to verify the presence of *pilA* and *PilB* genes in the GBS strain. We detected these genes by PCR. The results revealed that *pilA* was found only in three isolates (13.63%), but *pilB* was found in all isolates (22/22;100%).

Conclusion: Ouantitative real-time PCR was used to determine the effect of shikimic acid on the expression of genes (sag1407 and sag1408), and the results showed down-regulation of the expression of biofilm synthesis genes sag1407 and sag1408 when treated with Sub-MIC of shikimic acid.

Keywords: Streptococcus agalactiae, Shikimic acid, Inhibitory effect, Biofilm, Meningitis, Group B streptococcus (GBS).

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Biotechnology, College of Science, University of Anbar, Al Anbar, Irag; E-mail: sc.safaa-meani@uoanbar.edu.ig

Cite as: Alani S, Al Meani S. Inhibitory Effect of Shikimic Acid on Biofilm Formation and the Expression of Critical Biofilmassociated Genes in Streptococcus agalactiae. Open Biotechnol J, 2025; 19: e18740707337134. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118740707337134241212171647

Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net



Revised: November 09, 2024

Accepted: November 13, 2024

Published: February 13, 2025

(†)

ISSN: 1874-0707





1. INTRODUCTION

Streptococcus agalactiae is an oval-shaped, catalasenegative, facultative anaerobe, Gram-positive cocci [1]. This group consists of 10 distinct serotypes, nine of which have been identified throughout history (Ia, Ib, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII) and one of which was recently discovered (IX) [1]. Different serotypes are distinguished by type-specific capsular polysaccharides, which act as a virulence factor by which GBS avoids detection by the host immune system [2]. S. agalactiae is the most common cause of human newborn infections. It is a key contributor to illness in older adults and pregnant women who have underlying conditions like diabetes or immunosuppression. This organism, which is a natural component of the flora of the vaginal tract and gut, colonizes in 10-40% of pregnant women. GBS can result in urosepsis, chorioamnionitis, endometritis, pneumonia, and skin and soft tissue infections in both adults and pregnant women. Newborns with GBS develop meningitis, diarrhea, and sepsis [3]. GBS colonization and persistence in different hosts are dependent on their capacity to adhere to host cells and tissues. As a result, bacterial cell aggregation and biofilm formation are aided [4]. GBS strains differ in their ability to form biofilms, and these differences are linked to phylogenetic lineage, isolation source, and capsular serotype [5]. Biofilms provide defenses against hostile conditions, such as antimicrobials, high pH, and immune cells [6, 7]

The global expansion of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses a grave threat to global public health. AMR increases mortality and morbidity and places a substantial cost burden on health care. Due to the excessive use of antibiotics, antimicrobial medications eventually lose their efficacy [8]. S. agalactiae can acquire antibiotic resistance through a variety of mechanisms in addition to its inherent resistance to some medicines [9]. Shikimic acid (SA) is a (cyclohex-1-ene-1-carboxylic acid, (3R, 4S, 5R) 3,4,5trihydroxycyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid, C7H10O5) that is soluble in water [10]. SA is isolated from the fruit of Japanese star anise (Illicium religiosum Sieb. et Zucc./Illicium anisatum L.) and derives its name from the Japanese word for this Asian plant, "shikimi". Japanese star anise (Illicium japonicum) is harmful, whereas Chinese star anise (Illicium verum Hook.) is not harmful. Johann Frederik Eykman discovered SA as a chemical compound in 1885. To date, SA has been widely utilized in the pharmaceutical sector for the manufacturing of medications [11]. The major source of SA is the fruit of the evergreen Badian tree (Star anise) (Illicium verum Hook.). Due to the inefficiency of SA extraction from anise (3-7%), raw material from American ambergris seeds was also extracted. Additionally, SA can be produced by modifying the metabolism of Escherichia coli O6 [12]. Shikimic acid is a key component in producing the antiviral medication oseltamivir phosphate, marketed as Tamiflu[®] and used orally to treat influenza viruses [13]. SA has received unprecedented attention as an essential component in biological studies since it has anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antioxidant, antithrombotic, and antibacterial effects [14].

SA affects majorly gram-positive bacteria. The effect of SA on bacteria derives from the interaction of acid with lipids and proteins in the bacterial membrane [15]. This study aimed to study the effect of shikimic acid on gene expression of biofilm synthesis.

2. METHODS

2.1. Sample Collection

From the beginning of August, 2021 to the end of December, 2021, 181 specimens were taken from pregnant women who were in their third trimester. The specimens included vaginal swabs taken from female patients who were hospitalized in hospitals located within the Al-Anbar province. The methods used in this research were mentioned previously [16].

2.2. S. agalactiae Identification

Isolates were obtained from the vaginal swabs, and then they were cultured by spreading over agar containing 5% sheep blood. The plates were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 for 18-24 hours. Gram stain and catalase test were used in conjunction with other standard microbiological and morphological identification techniques for *S. agalactiae* in order to determine that the isolates were GBS. Moreover, CAMP, bacitracin tests, automated identification using the VITEK-2 system, and molecular identification based on the *atr* gene were also employed.

2.3. Evaluation of the Minimum Concentration of Inhibitor (MIC)

The MIC of shikimic acid was determined using the Resazurin Microtiter-plate Assay (REMA).

2.4. Synergism between Shikimic acid and Erythromycin

Erythromycin was combined with shikimic acid by utilizing the checkerboard technique. In 96-well microplates, the checkerboard method was employed to explore any potential synergistic interactions between erythromycin and shikimic acid.

2.5. Biofilm Development

Quantitative tests were utilized to measure the growth of biofilm, as described by Bertelloni, utilizing a microplate reader and 96-well sterile polystyrene microtiters with flat bottoms [17]. The effects of shikimic acid against biofilm formation were investigated.

2.6. Biofilm Biomass Assay

Cell attachment for *S.agalactiae* isolates was assessed using the modified crystal violet (CV) assay, [18]. A microplate reader was used to detect absorbance at 595 nanometers. Based on the following equation, the mean absorbance (OD595 nm) was used to determine the biomass formation inhibition percentage for each concentration of the test materials: [19]

Percentage inhibition = 100 - [(OD595 nm experimental well with test material / OD 595 nm control well without test material)x 100]:

2.7. Biofilm Metabolic Activity Assay

The metabolic activity of the biofilm growth by S.agalactiae was examined using an MTT assay according to Schillaci *et al.* (2008). The absorbance was then measured at 570 nm using the microplate reader [20].

2.8. Determination of Biofilm Inhibitory Activity of Shikimic Acid

2.8.1. A-Inhibition of Initial Cell Attachment

The effects of inhibitors on early cell attachment during biofilm formation were also evaluated. Using two distinct microtiter plates, solutions of shikimic acid (corresponding to 0.25 MIC, 0.5 MIC, 1 MIC, and 2 MIC) were created. The MTT assay was utilized to evaluate the metabolic activity, whilst the modified crystal violet (CV) assay was employed to detect biofilm formation [21].

2.8.2. B-Inhibition of Preformed Biofilm

The effect of shikimic acid on biofilm development and maturity was estimated according to [22]. Before adding shikimic acid, biofilms were given 24 hours to form. The plates were incubated for 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 hours following the application of shikimic acid to the biofilms. The biomass attachment of biofilms was then examined using a modified CV test, and MTT studies were conducted on biofilm cells that had already formed [21].

2.9. Isolation and Quantification of DNA

DNA isolation kits (Geneaid, Korea) were used to isolate genomic DNA from bacterial cultures according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and purity of DNA were evaluated using a Nano-drop device, and then DNA was stored at -20°C to prevent deterioration.

2.10. Conditions and Mixtures of PCR Reactions

```
Amplification of ATR gene was done using standard PCR
and ATR primers, such as 5'-CAA CGA TTC TCT CAG CTT
TGT TAA-3' and 5'-TAA GAA ATC TCT TGT GCG GAT
TTC-3'(Mudzana et al., 2021), gyrA primers,
                                                 5-
CGGGACACGTACAGGCTACT-3,5-CGATACGAGAAGCT
CCCACA-3, sag1407 primers 5- TGGTGACTTATGGACG-3, 5-
TGTACCAATACCACCTG-3, and sag1408 primers 5-
TTCGGCACAATAGGAGTTG-3 and 5- CTTAACTTGCCAA
GTCTGG-3 [23]. Afterward, the 20 µl reaction mixture was
prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions
(BIONEER, Korea). An initial denaturation step at 94 °C for
4 minutes was followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94
°C for 1 minute, annealing at 58, 52, and 53°C for 45
seconds, extension at 72 °C for 1 minute, and a final
elongation step at 72 °C for 7 minutes.
```

2.11. RNA Extraction

According to the guidelines for the TRIzol $^{\rm TM}$ Reagent, total RNA was extracted from treated and untreated isolates.

2.12. cDNA Synthesis

According to the oneScript[®] Plus cDNA synthesis kit, this synthesis was carried out under thermal cycler steps conditions: $25^{\circ}C 5 \text{ min}$, $60^{\circ}C 25 \text{ min}$, $85^{\circ}C 5 \text{ min}$, $4^{\circ}C \infty$.

2.13. qPCR Reactions Mixtures and Conditions

The total volume of the qPCR reaction mixture was 20 μ L, prepared according to the real-time PCR program, which included initial denaturation at 95°C for 60 seconds (1 cycle), denaturation at 95°C for 15 seconds and extension at 60°C for 30 seconds (40-45 cycles), and a melt curve from 60°C to 95°C with varying time (1 cycle).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Isolation of S. agalactiae

This study lasted four months, commencing in early August and concluding in late December, 2021. Twentytwo isolates were identified as GBS from 181 clinical specimens. The isolation rate of GBS from pregnant women was 12.15%, and the majority of the participants were between the ages of 25 and 37. In terms of clinical history, the individuals had multigravida (54.54%), abortion (22.73%), stillbirth (9.09%), or neonatal death (13.64%). Many factors influence the rate of *S.agalactiae* isolation from pregnant women, including isolate virulence and patient health state, environmental variables and hormonal changes that occur during pregnancy, and the consequent microbiota imbalance that increases the risk of GBS infections, which can result in difficulties for both mothers and children. Numerous local investigations demonstrated the prevalence of GBS in Iraq, such as Hassan et al., which reported an 18% prevalence of GBS in Baghdad. In addition, there are international studies that demonstrated GBS incidence rates and patient clinical histories. In Southeast Ethiopia, 75.8% of patients were multiparous. 25.3% had a history of abortion. 12.1% had a history of stillbirth, and 15.4% had a history of neonatal death, according to their clinical histories [24] The prevalence rate was 17.89% in Egypt and 16.4% in Kuwait [25].

3.2. Identification of S. agalactiae

The findings of tests employing microscopic diagnostics to identify S. agalactiae were positive for Coccus (chain or pair) and negative for catalase. Blood agar is hemolytic for S. agalactiae. S.agalactiae is distinguished from other streptococcal species based on a major virulence factor utilized by GBS during pathogenesis, which is positive for bacitracin and the CAMP test. *cfb* gene encoded by the CAMP factor was positive in this instance, indicating that the colony tested was *S.agalactiae*. Given how widespread the *cfb* gene is in identifying GBS from other Streptococcus species, the CAMP test or PCR testing for the *cfb* gene is frequently used in GBS strains. Molecular identification of S. *agalactiae* was carried out by detecting the *atr* gene in all bacterial isolates (100%). The atr gene detection test is specific for GBS screening in pregnant women. It has a high degree of selectivity for *S. agalactiae* and encodes for an amino acid glutamines transporter that is unique to S. *agalactiae*. Due to the fact that it is a housekeeping gene, the likelihood of mutation is low [26].

3.3. Evaluation of the Minimum Concentration of Inhibitor Using REMA Method

The MIC of shikimic acid was found to be 1.25 mg/ml.

3.4. Determination of the Effect of Synergism between Shikimic Acid and Erythromycin Using Checkerboard Technique

The emergence of drug-resistant bacteria has diminished the efficacy of conventional antibiotics, urging the development of alternative treatment methods for diseases caused by drug-resistant bacteria (Chi & Holo, 2018). In order to increase or restore antimicrobial action against multidrug-resistant bacteria, it is possible to create replacement antibiotics and discover or manufacture adjuvants (Montero *et al.*, 2018). It is extraordinarily challenging to develop new antibiotics at the rate at which microbes develop antibiotic resistance strategies. The checkerboard approach was one strategy used to overcome the resistance of bacteria and make them responsive to currently available antibiotics [27]. Synergy testing methods use susceptibility techniques to measure the cumulative efficacy of two or more compounds. Combinations of natural substances may enhance or facilitate the interaction of an antimicrobial agent with the pathogen, which would stop the development of resistance. Such inhibitors are useful for antibiotics linked to high resistance rates since lower concentrations of both drugs can be utilized in this method [20]. The objective of synergism is to reduce microbial resistance and toxicity, and the combination of antibiotics and natural products decreases the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotics while boosting the sensitivity of multidrug-resistant bacteria to these medications [29]. Checkerboard assays of GBS demonstrated synergistic characteristics when erythromycin and

shikimic acid were combined. The FICI values of 0.00972 indicate a synergistic effect between the tested substances. Through the synergistic interaction of natural compounds with currently available medicines, the phenomenon of antibiotic resistance can be efficiently dealt. The term "synergy" is applied when the combined therapeutic effect of two substances is larger than the sum of their individual effects. This study indicated that the combination of erythromycin and other substances produces synergistic effects, as measured by a decrease in metabolic activity, and restores sensitivity to erythromycin in erythromycin-resistant strains of GBS. Combining herbal medicines and phytochemicals with antibiotics and other therapeutically relevant pharma- ceuticals is, therefore, a relatively novel and effective technique for controlling resistant microbes. Several compounds have been investigated for their ability to alter microbial resistance, and several have been found to be effective against multiple targets, such as blocking PBP, enhancing bacterial outer membrane permeability, and inhibiting bacterial efflux pumps [30].

3.5. Biofilm Development

In the MTP assay, the characterization of *S.agalactiae* isolates ranged from strong (7/22; 31.81%), moderate (10; 45.45%), and weak (3;13.63%) to no biofilm producers (2/22; 9.09%). In contrast to our findings, another study conducted in 2016 found that only 13.8% of isolates in China produced biofilm [31]. Bacterial biofilms are an essential virulence factor that plays a crucial part in the pathogenesis of bacteria; this is owing to the greater resistance to host defenses that promote germ survival and proliferation [32]. According to a study, *S. agalactiae* colonizing pregnant females is more capable of forming biofilm than GBS isolated from various symptomatic illnesses [33].



Fig. (1). Result of different concentrations of shikimic acid on initial cell attachment of S.agalactia.



Fig. (2). Percentage inhibition of GBS biofilm formation on preformed GBS biofilms.



Fig. (3). Impact of shikimic acid concentration on GBS initial cell attachment metabolism.

3.6. Antimicrobial Activity against Sessile Cells

3.6.1. Determination of the Anti-biofilm Activity of Shikimic Acid on Biomass in S. agalactiae Biofilms

The anti-biofilm activity of shikimic acid on both the initial cell attachment and performed (24h) biofilms was examined. In a modified CV experiment, shikimic acid demonstrated a 93% greater impact on biomass attach-

ment than control (percentage inhibition) at 2 MIC. At both MIC and 0.5 MIC, the inhibition was above 80%. While initial cell attachment was reduced, it was not as significantly inhibited as at 2 MIC or MIC, as shown in Fig. (1).

Despite employing 2 MIC of antibiofilms, it did not completely suppress cell attachment. Overall, the modification of biofilm formation sites with shikimic acid rendered them unsuitable for attachment, indicating that they are useful for preventing microbial adherence (Jadhav *et al.*, 2013). Shikimic acid was tested against a biofilm that had already grown. An early phase of reversible (weak) attachment is followed by an irreversible (strong) attachment phase during the creation of biofilms [34]. The results demonstrated that the MIC of shikimic acid was evaluated against *S. agalactiae* preformed biofilm for 24 hours and determined by the CV assay. We noticed that the percentage inhibition of *S. agalactiae* preformed biofilm increased with longer incubation times, as shown in Fig. (2).

This reported resistance of a constructed biofilm can be attributed to the extracellular polysaccharide layer of the biofilm, which may halt the entry of antimicrobials, or to the mature biofilm's compact three-dimensional organization, which may prevent the entry of shikimic acid into the biofilm. As most antimicrobial substances are more effective against cells that are actively growing, this increasing resistance may also be influenced by this fact. Due to the poor growth rate of the cells in a biofilm and the deficiency of nutrients and oxygen, chemicals used to fight bacteria may have less impact [35].

3.6.2. Evaluating Antibiofilm Impact of Shikimic Acid against the Metabolic Activity of S. agalactiae Biofilms

MTT (thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide) was employed to detect connected living cells, while CV stains were found in both viable and nonviable cells. MTT, which is detectable calorimetrically, can only be converted into a colored chemical by living cells. The MTT assay detects only living cells based on their metabolic activity [36]. The MTT assay results revealed that shikimic acid considerably decreased the metabolic activity of *S.agalactiae* biofilms. Anti-adhesion action was the highest at 2*MIC, and inhibition began to diminish as the concentration of each antibiofilm lowered. Due to the low concentration of an inhibitor (0.25 MIC), biofilm formation must be inhibited with a high inhibitory concentration in order to prevent the formation, as illustrated in Fig. (3).

However, in the case of formed biofilms, shikimic acid reduced the metabolic activity of *S. agalactiae* at MIC. It has been demonstrated that the metabolic activity decreased with exposure time, culminating at 24 hours, as shown in Fig. (4).

3.6.3. Detection of Pili Biosynthesis Genes pilA (sag1407) and pilB (sag1408)

To verify the presence of *pilA* and *pilB* genes in the GBS strain, we detected these genes by PCR. The results revealed that *pilA* was found only in three isolates (13.63%), but *pilB* was found in all isolates tested (22/22; 100%).

Pili are cell-wall-anchored appendages that protrude from the surface of bacteria. Pili in GBS have been found to play a primary role in epithelial cell colonization, biofilm formation, translocation, and penetration of host cells. GBS pilus types are encoded by distinct genomic loci containing characteristics typical of Gram-positive pilus islands [37]. The GBS *PilA* type protein helps in the initial adhesion to the host. While the *PilB* type has been related to bacterial invasion and paracellular translocation, it has also mediated resistance to phagocytic killing [38].

3.6.4. RNA Concentration and Purity

RNA was extracted from *S.agalactiae* isolates before and after the treatment. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzolTM Reagent, and its concentration was from 22.5 to 85.6ng /µl.



Fig. (4). The impact of shikimic acid on the metabolic activity of *S. agalactiae* constructed biofilm cells was assessed using the MTT test after the cells were cultured for 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 hours.

Samples	Ct	ΔCt	ΔΔCt	Folding
Test treated with shikimic acid	37.57	24.68	4.64	0.040107
Reference treated with shikimic acid	12.89			
Test untreated with shikimic acid	33.59	20.04	0	1
Reference untreated with shikimic acid	13.55			

Table 1. Gene expression of sag1407 gene before and after the treatment of S.agalactiae with shikimic acid.

Table 2. Gene expression of sag1408 gene before and after the treatment of S.agalactiae with shikimic acid.

Samples	Ct	ΔCt	ΔΔCt	Folding
Test treated with shikimic acid	14.07	1.18	0.75	0.594604
Reference treated with shikimic acid	12.89			
Test untreated with shikimic acid	13.98	0.43	0	1
Reference untreated with shikimic acid	13.55			



Fig. (5). Gene expression of sag1407 and sag1408 genes before and after the treatment of S.agalactiae with shikimic acid.

3.6.5. Effects of Shikimic Acid on Gene Expression of sag1407 and sag1408 gene of S. agalactiae

To further confirm the inhibitory effects of the shikimic acid on *S. agalactiae*, strong biofilm-producing and biofilm-associated genes (*pilA* and *pilB*) were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. From the software of quantitative RT-PCR, Ct values of gene amplification were recorded. High Ct values mean low gene expression, while low Ct values show high gene expression. The calculation of gene expression fold change was carried out from the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct value. The results of gene expression are shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. (5).

Results demonstrated that after the treatment with shikimic acid, biofilm-producing *S. agalactiae* isolates had lower transcript levels of the pili biosynthesis genes (*pilA* and *pilB*) than the control isolates.

Shikimic acid affected the gene expression of the biofilm formation genes when treated with it, as it changed the CT value of each gene, and thus, this difference in value resulted in a variation in gene expression compared to the

untreated sample. In this study, the CT value of the sag1407 gene was 33.59 before being treated with sub-MIC shikimic acid, and after treatment, the CT value increased to 37.57. Moreover, the Ct value for sag 1408 was 13.98 before the treatment and 14.07 after the treatment. However, for the reference gene, *qyrA*, the CT was 13.55 before treatment, and after treatment, it decreased and became 12.89. Furthermore, down-regulation was reported in the sag1407 gene expression levels when treated with sub-MIC shikimic acid, which reached 0.04011 compared to the control. Also, saq1408 reached 0.5946 than control. There is no available data regarding the investigation of the effect of shikimic acid on *S. agalactiae*. It was found that the treatment with shikimic acid decreased the expression of the pili biosynthesis genes (pilA and pilB), which are responsible for the formation of biofilms. This chemical compound exerted its antibacterial effect by disrupting cellular metabolism and destroying cell membranes. Meanwhile, this compound could inhibit biofilm formation and decrease toxin secretion and infection risk [39]. SA can inhibit EPS synthesis and modify the transcription of biofilm formation-related genes,

resulting in fewer bacterial cells in its biofilm. The cell membrane and membrane proteins were also affected by SA interactions, harming the bacterial cells [40].

SA demonstrated the capacity to obstruct bacterial cell movement. In microbial physiology, motility is significant because it is essential for adherence to the surface and subsequent biofilm development [27, 28].

In a study, it was reported that SA affects Staphylococci by down-regulating *sarA*, which is necessary for the formation of biofilms. Development of biofilms was reportedly suppressed in *sarA* mutants of *S. aureus*. Fibrinogen binding protein and other extracellular matrix proteins were reduced, and protease and nuclease activities increased in the mutants, which inhibited early cell-surface interactions and cell-cell interactions [41, 42]. This study showed that SA mostly suppressed *sarA* transcription, preventing early biofilm development and establishment [43].

CONCLUSION

The frequency of GBS among pregnant women in Anbar, Iraq, and the colonization rate (12.15%) of this bacteria were studied recently. Shikimic acid contains antibacterial properties that are effective against *S. agalactiae*, an antibiotic-resistant biofilm. The combination of shikimic acid with antibiotics using the checkerboard technique against *S. agalactiae* could improve the susceptibility of this bacteria toward these antibiotics to treat infections resulting from multidrug-resistant bacteria. Shikimic acid, as a novel pharmaceutical compound, decreased the gene expressions of *sag1407* and *sag1408* genes for biofilm synthesis.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

It is hereby acknowledged that all authors have accepted responsibility for the manuscript's content and consented to its submission. They have meticulously reviewed all results and unanimously approved the final version of the manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- CV = Crystal Violet
- REMA = Resazurin Microtiter-plate Assay
- MIC = Minimum Concentration of Inhibitor
- SA = Shikimic acid
- AMR = Antimicrobial resistance
- PCR = Polymerase chain reaction
- GBS = Group B Streptococcus

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The current study originates from a medical student's thesis and has received approval from the Ethical Approval Committee of the University of Anbar, Iraq in 2021 (No. 234).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All human research procedures followed were in

accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from the participants.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of the article is available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al Meani SAL, Ahmed MM, Abdulkareem AH. Assessment of salicylic acid capability in biofilm disassembling of local penicillin resistant -streptococcus agalactiae isolated from pregnant women. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol 2021; 15(3): 5292-300. http://dx.doi.org/10.37506/ijfmt.v15i3.16273
- [2] Toniolo C, Balducci E, Romano MR, et al. Streptococcus agalactiae capsule polymer length and attachment is determined by the proteins CpsABCD. J Biol Chem 2015; 290(15): 9521-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.631499 PMID: 25666613
- [3] Que C, Chen H, Qiu H, Zhong H. Analysis of differences in neonatal sepsis caused by streptococcus agalactiae and escherichia coli. Clin Lab 2024; 70(07/2024) http://dx.doi.org/10.7754/Clin.Lab.2024.231233 PMID: 38965964
- [4] Rosini R, Margarit I. Biofilm formation by Streptococcus agalactiae: Influence of environmental conditions and implicated virulence factors. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2015; 5: 6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00006 PMID: 25699242
- [5] Parker RE, Laut C, Gaddy JA, Zadoks RN, Davies HD, Manning SD. Association between genotypic diversity and biofilm production in group B Streptococcus. BMC Microbiol 2016; 16(1): 86.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0704-9 PMID: 27206613

- [6] Rather MA, Gupta K, Mandal M. Microbial biofilm: Formation, architecture, antibiotic resistance, and control strategies. Braz J Microbiol 2021; 52(4): 1701-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42770-021-00624-x PMID: 34558029
- [7] Ahmed MM, Emad Khadum H, Saied Jassam HM. Medicinal herbs as novel therapies against antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Res J Pharm Tech 2023; 16(1): 62-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.52711/0974-360X.2023.00011
- [8] Zhu Y, Huang WE, Yang Q. Clinical perspective of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. Infect Drug Resist 2022; 15: 735-46. http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S345574 PMID: 35264857
- [9] Petchiappan A, Chatterji D. Antibiotic resistance: Current perspectives. ACS Omega 2017; 2(10): 7400-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.7b01368 PMID: 30023551
- [10] Batory M, Rotsztejn H. Shikimic acid in the light of current knowledge. J Cosmet Dermatol 2022; 21(2): 501-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14136 PMID: 33825313
- [11] Borah JC. Shikimic acid: A highly prospective molecule in pharmaceutical industry. Curr Sci 2015; 109(9): 1672-9.
- [12] Choi M, Choi SJ, Jang S, et al. Shikimic acid, a mannose bioisostere, promotes hair growth with the induction of anagen

hair cycle. Sci Rep 2019; 9(1): 17008.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53612-5 PMID: 31740717

- [13] Cardoso SF, Lopes LMX, Nascimento IR. Eichhornia crassipes: An advantageous source of shikimic acid. Rev Bras Farmacogn 2014; 24(4): 439-42.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjp.2014.08.003
- [14] Rabelo TK, Zeidán-Chuliá F, Caregnato FF, et al. In vitro neuroprotective effect of shikimic acid against hydrogen peroxideinduced oxidative stress. J Mol Neurosci 2015; 56(4): 956-65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12031-015-0559-9 PMID: 25862258
- [15] Bai J, Wu Y, Liu X, Zhong K, Huang Y, Gao H. Antibacterial activity of shikimic acid from pine needles of Cedrus deodara against Staphylococcus aureus through damage to cell membrane. Int J Mol Sci 2015; 16(11): 27145-55. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms161126015 PMID: 26580596
- [16] Alani SN, AlMeani SAL. Inhibition of streptococcus agalactiae biofilm formation in response to purified phytochemical antimicrobial materials. J Pharm Negat Results 2022; 13(4): 601-7.

http://dx.doi.org/10.47750/pnr.2022.13.04.079

[17] Bertelloni F, Cagnoli G, Ebani VV. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance in canine staphylococcus spp. Isolates. Microorganisms 2021; 9(3): 515. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9030515 PMID:

33801518

- [18] Abdulkareem AH, et al. Impact of solidago virgaurea extract on bio film formation for ESBL- Pseudomonas aeruginosa : An in vitro model study. 2023.
- [19] Djordjevic D, Wiedmann M, McLandsborough LA. Microtiter plate assay for assessment of Listeria monocytogenes biofilm formation. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002; 68(6): 2950-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.6.2950-2958.2002 PMID: 12039754
- [20] Patel S, Gheewala N, Suthar A, Shah A. In-vitro cytotoxicity activity of solanum nigrum extract against. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2009; Vol. 1: 38-47.
- [21] Sandasi M, Vermaak I, Chen W, Viljoen A. The application of vibrational spectroscopy techniques in the qualitative assessment of material traded as ginseng. Molecules 2016; 21(4): 472. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules21040472 PMID: 27077839
- [22] Bai JR, Zhong K, Wu YP, Elena G, Gao H. Antibiofilm activity of shikimic acid against Staphylococcus aureus. Food Control 2019; 95: 327-33.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.08.020
- [23] Abd El-Aziz NK, Ammar AM, El-Naenaeey EYM, et al. Antimicrobial and antibiofilm potentials of cinnamon oil and silver nanoparticles against Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from bovine mastitis: New avenues for countering resistance. BMC Vet Res 2021; 17(1): 136.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12917-021-02842-9 PMID: 33789637

- [24] Addisu T. Isolation, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and associated factors of group B Streptococci colonization among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Jigjiga, Southeast Ethiopia
- [25] El Aila N A, Esleem S E, Elmanama A A. Prevalence of group B Streptococcus colonization among pregnant women in gaza strip, Palestine. IUG J Nat Stud 2017; 25(3)
- [26] Taminato M, Fram D, Torloni MR, Belasco AGS, Saconato H, Barbosa DA. Screening for group B Streptococcus in pregnant women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem 2011; 19(6): 1470-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0104-11692011000600026 PMID: 22249684
- [27] Nikolic I, Vukovic D, Gavric D, et al. An optimized checkerboard method for phage-antibiotic synergy detection. Viruses 2022; 14(7): 1542.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/v14071542 PMID: 35891522

[28] Sanhueza L, Melo R, Montero R, Maisey K, Mendoza L, Wilkens M. Synergistic interactions between phenolic compounds identified in grape pomace extract with antibiotics of different classes against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. PLoS One 2017; 12(2): e0172273.

- http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172273 PMID: 28235054
- [29] Hemaiswarya S, Kruthiventi AK, Doble M. Synergism between natural products and antibiotics against infectious diseases. Phytomedicine 2008; 15(8): 639-52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2008.06.008 PMID: 18599280
- [30] Musilova L, Ridl J, Polivkova M, Macek T, Uhlik O. Effects of secondary plant metabolites on microbial populations: Changes in community structure and metabolic activity in contaminated environments. Int J Mol Sci 2016; 17(8): 1205. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms17081205 PMID: 27483244
- [31] Yu J, Han W, Xu Y, et al. Biofilm-producing ability of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus clinically isolated in China. BMC Microbiol 2024; 24(1): 241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-024-03380-8 PMID: 38961344
- [32] Pugazhendhi AS, Wei F, Hughes M, Coathup M. Bacterial adhesion, virulence, and biofilm formation.Musculoskeletal Infection. Springer 2022; pp. 19-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-83251-3 2
- [33] Patenaude K. Interactions between streptococcus agalactiae and candida albicans affect persistence and virulence Electronic Theses and Dissertations 2023.
- [34] Oliveira MMM, Brugnera DF, Cardoso MG, Alves E, Piccoli RH. Disinfectant action of Cymbopogon sp. essential oils in different phases of biofilm formation by Listeria monocytogenes on stainless steel surface. Food Control 2010; 21(4): 549-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.08.003
- [35] Sandasi M, Leonard CM, Viljoen AM. The *in vitro* antibiofilm activity of selected culinary herbs and medicinal plants against Listeria monocytogenes. Lett Appl Microbiol 2010; 50(1): 30-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02747.x PMID: 19874481
- [36] Kouidhi B, Zmantar T, Bakhrouf A. Anti-cariogenic and antibiofilms activity of Tunisian propolis extract and its potential protective effect against cancer cells proliferation. Anaerobe 2010; 16(6): 566-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.09.005 PMID:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.09.005 PMID 20934525

[37] Cozzi R, Malito E, Lazzarin M, et al. Structure and assembly of group B streptococcus pilus 2b backbone protein. PLoS One 2015; 10(5): e0125875.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125875 PMID: 25942637

- [38] Sheen TR, Jimenez A, Wang NY, Banerjee A, van Sorge NM, Doran KS. Serine-rich repeat proteins and pili promote Streptococcus agalactiae colonization of the vaginal tract. J Bacteriol 2011; 193(24): 6834-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00094-11 PMID: 21984789
- [39] Bai J, Wu Y, Bu Q, Zhong K, Gao H. Comparative study on antibacterial mechanism of shikimic acid and quinic acid against Staphylococcus aureus through transcriptomic and metabolomic approaches. LWT 2022; 153 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112441
- [40] Castiblanco LF, Sundin GW. New insights on molecular regulation of biofilm formation in plant-associated bacteria. J Integr Plant Biol 2016; 58(4): 362-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12428 PMID: 26377849
- [41] Borges A, Saavedra MJ, Simões M. The activity of ferulic and gallic acids in biofilm prevention and control of pathogenic bacteria. Biofouling 2012; 28(7): 755-67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2012.706751 PMID: 22823343
- [42] Paharik AE, Horswill AR. The staphylococcal biofilm: Adhesins, regulation, and host response. Microbiol Spectr 2016; 4(2)
- [43] Valle J, Toledo-Arana A, Berasain C, et al. SarA and not σ^B is essential for biofilm development by Staphylococcus aureus. Mol Microbiol 2003; 48(4): 1075-87. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03493.x PMID: 12753197